

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,828	08/27/2001	Mitsuhiro Yamamoto	041514-5230 1102		
55694 73	590 06/08/2006		EXAMINER		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC)			JONES III, CLYDE H		
1500 K STREE SUITE 1100	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			2623		
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/938,828	YAMAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Clyde H. Jones III	2623		

	Clyde H. Jones III		2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amer tice of Appeal (with appe e with 37 CFR 1.114. Th	ndment, aff eal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the da iter than SIX MONTHS fror b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	n the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspond hortened statutory period f than three months after the	ling amount or reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or searcw); ter form for appeal by m	th (see NO	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice	of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,6-14,18 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,3,5 and 15-17.	☐ will not be entered, o	orb)⊠ wi	-	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date o d sufficient reasons why	of filing a N the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections u	ınder appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered buse See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	·	•		nce because:
13. Other:	1 10/06/00 OF 10-144	oji aperi		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the 5/17/2006 remarks on page 7, lines 4-11, the appliant argues that Beckert fails to meet the limitaiton "wherein said storage part is contained in a display panel portion of said electronic equipment" (claim 1, lines 7-8) because Beckert does not teach the storage part (110, 133, 132 - fig. 3) is contained in the display panel. The examiner respectfully disagrees, and continues to assert that Beckert meets the limitation discussed above because the base 46 (fig. 1)/support module 62 (fig.3) is interpreted by the Examiner to contain a display panel portion, i.e., it contains the the portion of the device that holds the display (when it is attached) and further it contains the memory (110, 132, 133) (col. 5, lines 46-51 & lines 62-65; col. 4, lines 13-14). Furthermore, the applicant fails to define the relationship between the display panel and the display panel portion in the claims, i.e., there is nothing in the claim that defines the display panel as being contained in the display panel portion, so the examiner interprets the Beckert reference to teach the memory (110, 133, 132)/storage part contained in the base 46/support module 62/display panel portion to be enabled to write into the memory when the faceplate 60 (fig. 3)/display panel is detached from the device body portion (also contained in base 46/support module 62) (see Beckert col. 4, lines 19-20; col. 4, lines 24-28 & 38-43; col. 6, lines 38-42; col. 5, lines 46-47; col. 6, lines 53-55; col. 11, lines 33-38; col. 12, lines 51-56) as required by claim 1.

Note to Applicant

Art Units 2611, 2614 and 2617 have changed to 2623. Please make all future correspondence indicate the new designation 2623.

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600